

18/07/06-14:35

RECEIVED  
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER US 08/809,620 (TE20060718)  
 Goulen VERNOIS - Supplement to Reply  
 JUL 18 2006

**To Mr Thong Q. Nguyen**

**Art Unit 2872**

**July 18, 2006**  
**Via fax 571 273 8300**

**Application 08/809,620**  
**Goulen VERNOIS**

Dear Sir,

The texts with numbering of lines must replace the former texts.

By absent-mindedness, the chapter V CLAIMS LISTING had been added on Reply to Action, doing twice use; please delete it from Reply to Action, which will have only 13 pages.

With your permission, I wish to turn back upon the word "independent".

Before the use as Hutchinson's mirror, the two membranes are independent.

During the use of the Hutchinson's mirror, the two membranes are dependent because it is only by their firm association that the goal of the invention is achieved; the reflecting film alone, or the metallic membrane alone, independent devices, do not achieve this goal which is to make a solar mirror.

After this use, if the mirror is dismantled, the membranes again become independent.


The word "independent" must be used with great precautions.

This word was perfectly used in my application, where the membranes are truly and necessarily independent for achieve the goal of the invention, and does not can be used for Hutchinson, without remove at this word all meaning.

The Applicant hopes respectfully for the addition in MPEP of a list of dangerous words.

In an other hand, the Applicant respectfully observes that the official USPTO form PTOL-326 made this Office Action Summary "non-final".

Best regards

  
**Goulen VERNOIS**

**Fax 33 02 97 61 11 27**

18/07/06-14:35

US 08/809,620 (TE20060718)  
 Goulen VERNOIS - Supplement to Reply